

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/531,247	03/21/2000	Jian Qin	11710-0160	7378	
23594	7590 03/05/2003				
JOHN S. PRATT			EXAMINER		
1100 PEACH	K STOCKTON LLP TREE		WILSON, DONALD R		
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2111111,			1713	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•~	•			AS-16			
		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/531,247	QIN ET AL.				
	, and a second s	Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. R. Wilson	1713				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addi	ess			
Theref final re conditi	EPLY FILED 12 February 2003 FAILS TO PLAC ore, further action by the applicant is required to ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of t (1) a timely filed amend eal (with appeal fee); or	his application. A proper rep ment which places the applic (3) a timely filed Request for	oly to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR R	REPLY (check either a) o	r b)]				
nave bee 37 CFR (b) above	<u> </u>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date so than SIX MONTHS from the mass FILED WITHIN TWO MONT date on which the petition underension and the corresponding ared statutory period for reply orig	ailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate nount of the fee. The appropriate extrinally set in the final Office action; or (	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
;	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid d					
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d)	☐ they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding nu	imber of finally rejected clain	ns.			
	NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> .						
3	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed	J amendment			
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request factor application in condition for allowance because: $\underline{s}$		een considered but does NC	T place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which we	re newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,10-12,17-19 and 21</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9,13-16	<u>6 and 20</u> .					
8. 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is a)□ approved or b)[	disapproved by the Exam	niner.			
9. 🗌	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	Other:						
			D. R. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713				

Application/Control Number: 09/531,247 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## Response to Proposed Amendment After Final

- 1. Applicant's proposed amendment filed 2/12/03, after final rejection, has been fully considered with the following results.
- 2. The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues as well as not placing the application in better condition for appeal. As previously pointed out, the amendment to the specification reciting the dimensions of fibers used in the invention is considered to be new matter because it is not supported by any evidence that such were publicly known to be the dimensions at the time of the invention. Applicant also appears to have introduced new matter in the claims as applicant has not pointed to any basis in the specification for the recited particulate or fiber dimensions. Applicant is reminded that the burden is on applicant, not the Examiner, to establish the basis for amendments. New issues which would require further consideration include the size limitations now present in the claims, clarity of the claims e.g., the new language of Claim 1 does not require the second functional group to be of the superabsorbent material, and Claim 10 narrows the material to that of a fiber which hasn't previously been considered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, which continue to traverse the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, concerning the structure and chemistry of lauryldimethyl amine oxide is not deemed to be persuasive for reasons of record.
- 4. The proposed amendment to Claim 21 if entered would have overcome the separate rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and the rejection would have been withdrawn.
- 5. The proposed amendment if entered would have overcome the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, concerning the amount of water sufficient to solvate the surface, and in this regard the rejection would have been withdrawn. The proposed amendment would not have overcome the other bases of rejection for reasons of record.
- 6. Applicant's traversal of the prior art rejections is also not deemed to be persuasive for reasons of record.

adv: 12/28/02

Application/Control Number: 09/531,247

Art Unit: 1713

## Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. R. Wilson whose telephone number is 703-308-2398.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 703-308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. The unofficial direct fax phone number to the Examiner's desk is 703-872-9029.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2351.

D. R. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713 Page 3